

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OSLO 00212 141332Z

44

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 IO-11 ISO-00 EURE-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04

H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02

SS-15 USIA-06 ACDA-05 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 /076 W

----- 092666

P 141106Z JAN 76

FM AMEMBASSY OSLO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 978

INFO AMEMBASSY ANKARA

AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY LISBON

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY PARIS

RUDISDF AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK 1165

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

USMISSION NATO PRIORITY

USMISSION USUN NEW YORK PRIORITY

C O N F I D E N T I A L OSLO 0212

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: NATO, UN, NO

SUBJ: NORWAY OPPOSED TO NATO CONCLUTATIONS ON UN DEVELOPMENTS

RRFS: STATE 1031, USNATO 0056

1. DURING CONVERSATION AT FOREIGN MINISTRY JAN 14  
ON UN DISARMAMENT ISSUES, INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION  
AFFAIRS CHEIF NYHEIM ADVISED EMBOFF THAT NORWAY IS  
STRONGLY OPPOSED, IN PRINCIPLE, TO NATO CONSULTATIONS  
ON UN DEVELOPMENTS.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 OSLO 00212 141332Z

2. CITING LONG-STANDING POLICY OF NOT INTERMINGLING UN AND NATO POLICIES, HYHEIM STATED THAT ISSUES INVOLVING VOTING AT UN SHOULD BE DISCUSSED IN NEW YORK, NOT ELSEWHERE.

3. EMBOFF DREW ON GUIDANCE CONTAINED IN FIRST REF TO EXPLAIN SERIOUSNESS WITH WHICH US VIEWED ALLIED VOTING PATTERNS ON GUAM AND DECOLONIZATION RESOLUTIONS AND WHAT STEPS ALLIES SHOULD UNDERTAKE TO (A) PREVENT RECURRENCE OF UN CRITICISM OF NATO OR (B) BETTER COORDINATE ALLIED POSITIONS THROUGH CONSULTATIONS.

4. NYHEIM EXPRESSED PERSONAL VIEW THAT POLICIES OF NATO ALLIES WERE SO DIVERGENT ON US ISSUES WITH DIFFERENT INTERESTS AT STAKE THAT US COULD NEVER HOPE TO "SUCCEED" OR CREATE CONSENSUS IN NATO. AWARE OF BELGIAN PROPOSAL (SECOND REF), NYHEIM SPECULATED THAT IT MIGHT CONTAIN ELEMENTS OF AN ACCEPTABLE COMPROMISE.

5. DISARMAMENT ISSUES--UN MILITARY EXPENDITURES AND AD HOC COMMITTEE TO REVIEW UN DISARMAMENT ROLE--WILL BE REPORTED SEPTELS. FOREIGN MINISTRY HAD NOT FORMULATED POLICY ON EITHER ISSUE PRIOR TO EMBOFF DEMARCHE.  
BYRNE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** LOBBYING, INDEPENDENCE, INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION, MEETING VOTING RECORDS, UNGA RESOLUTIONS, DEPENDENCIES, GOVERNMENT REACTIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 14 JAN 1976  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** BoyleJA  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1976OSLO00212  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D760013-0904  
**From:** OSLO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1976/newtext/t19760158/aaaabzos.tel  
**Line Count:** 85  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** BoyleJA  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 26 MAR 2004  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <26 MAR 2004 by izenbei0>; APPROVED <02 AUG 2004 by BoyleJA>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
04 MAY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** NORWAY OPPOSED TO NATO CONCULTATIONS ON UN DEVELOPMENTS RRFS: STATE 1031, USNATO 0056  
**TAGS:** PFOR, NO, NATO, UN  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006